UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,329	10/07/2005	Hans Bernhoff	2816-12	7119
616	7590 02/09/2007		EXAMINER	
THE MAXHAM FIRM 9330 SCRANTON ROAD, SUITE 350			GONZALEZ, JULIO C	
SAN DIEGO,	CA 92121		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2834	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	ONTHS	02/09/2007	PAI	PER .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

• `		C	//			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/552,329	BERNHOFF ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Julio C. Gonzalez	2834				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	EDLVIS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M	ONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. sply be timely filed IHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
, .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-37</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-30 and 34-37</u> is/are rejected.	· ·					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31-33</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	nd/or election requirement.	· ·				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 October 2005</u> is		pjected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docum						
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	·					
 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu 	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.				
·						
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)/Mail Date formal Patent Application				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

10/552,329

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 34 is objected to because of the following informalities: it is not clear what is meant by the rotor comprising a "permanent magnetic". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 15, 34 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Neuenschwander (US 4,539,485) in view of Smalser et al (US 6,617,705).

Neuenschwander discloses a wave power device for producing electricity (see abstract) having a hull 50, a rotor having magnets 42 and stator having windings 34 and the device being able to be anchored to a sea/lake bottom (see figure 3).

10/552,329

Art Unit: 2834

However, Neuenschwander does not disclose using an electromagnetic damping device.

On the other hand, Smalser et al discloses for the purpose of protecting wave power generation systems against damages that it is desirable to control the up and down motion of the power stroke during high level of input energy (column 3, line 44-57; column 4, lines 22-24) and that an electromagnetic damper can be used (column 3, lines 30-34; column 2, lines 42-45). Smalser et al teachings would have enable to affect the forces exerted by the stator on the rotor by damping the oscillation of the power strokes.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design a wave power device as disclosed by Neuenschwander and to modify the invention by using an electromagnetic damping device for the purpose of protecting wave power generation systems against damages as disclosed by Smalser et al.

4. Claims 16, 17, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Neuenschwander and Smalser et al as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Willyoung (5,654,602).

10/552,329

Art Unit: 2834

The combined power assembly discloses all of the elements above.

However, the combined power assembly does not disclose that the stator winding is configured as a fractional slot winding.

On the other hand, Willyoung discloses for the purpose of improving the winding patterns of three phase generators that fractional slot windings are known in the art (column 4, lines 20 - 30, 40; see figure 1).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the combined power assembly device as disclosed above and to use fractional slow winding for the stator for the purpose of improving the winding patterns of three phase generators as disclosed by Willyoung.

5. Claims 23 – 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Neuenschwander, Smalser et al and Willyoung as applied to claims 15, 16, 22 above, and further in view of Korenaga (US 6,791,214).

The combined power assembly discloses all of the elements above.

However, the combined power assembly does not disclose that magnets are oriented obliquely in relation to a plane perpendicular to the direction of motion.

10/552,329

Art Unit: 2834

On the other hand, Korenaga discloses for the purpose of reducing efficiently cogging force, an electromagnetic machine having stator 102 having windings 103 and a rotor 110 having magnets 111 being obliquely with respect to the direction of motion (see figures 1A, 3, 5).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the combined power assembly device as disclosed above and to modify the invention by having the magnets being obliquely with respect to the direction of motion for the purpose of reducing efficiently cogging force as disclosed by Korenaga.

6. Claims 18 – 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Neuenschwander, Smalser et al and Willyoung as applied to claims 16, 17 above, and further in view of Ordinary Skill in the Art.

The Prior Art discloses the claimed invention except for optimum value given to the fractional slot winding. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to such values, since it has been held that discovering the optimum value of result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F. 2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

10/552,329

Art Unit: 2834

Moreover, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to come with those optimum ranges (less than 1; greater than 1) that the applicant discloses, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In *re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 31 – 33 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julio C. Gonzalez whose telephone number is 571-272-2024. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8AM-5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2044.

Page 7

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Julio C. Gonzalez Primary Examiner Art Unit 2834

Jcg

February 6, 2007